

**Exercise 1. Coupling**

- (1) Let  $0 < p' < p < 1$ , let  $X_p$  be a Bernoulli ( $p$ ) random variable. How can you sample  $X_{p'} \sim \text{Ber}(p')$  using  $X_p$  and one other Bernoulli random variable  $Y$ , so that  $X_{p'} \leq X_p$ ?

**Solution.** Let  $X_p$  be a Bernoulli( $p$ ) random variable. We need to discard the result with a certain probability when  $X_p = 1$ . Let us consider  $Y$  a Bernoulli random variable of parameter  $q$ . Let us consider  $X_p Y$ : it is also a Bernoulli random variable since it takes only 0 – 1 values. Let us compute its parameter :

$$\mathbb{E}(X_p Y) = \mathbb{E}(X_p) \mathbb{E}(Y) = pq$$

Thus, if  $Y \sim \text{Ber}\left(\frac{p'}{p}\right)$  then  $X_p Y \sim \text{Ber}(p')$  and by definition  $X_p Y \leq X_p$ .

- (2) Let us consider an infinite random sequence of independent Bernoulli( $p$ ). How can you create an infinite random sequence of independent Bernoulli( $\frac{1}{2}$ )?

*Remark.* This means that if you do not trust the coin of somebody, you can still create a fair “head/tail” process.

**Solution.** Let us consider two random variables  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  which are two independent Bernoulli( $p$ ) random variables. Let us write the probabilities for the couple  $(X_1, X_2)$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X_1, X_2 : & 1, 1 & 0, 1 & 1, 0 & 0, 0 \\ \mathbb{P} & p^2 & p - p^2 & p - p^2 & 1 - 2p + p^2 \end{array}$$

The probabilities to get  $(0, 1)$  or  $(1, 0)$  are equal : thus we condition on the fact that  $(X_1, X_2) \in \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$  and we set

$$\begin{cases} Y = 0 & \text{if } (X_1, X_2) = (0, 1) \\ Y = 1 & \text{if } (X_1, X_2) = (1, 0) \end{cases}$$

If we consider an infinite number of independent pairs of independent Bernoulli( $p$ ),  $\left((X_1^i, X_2^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$  then we consider  $\tau_1$  the first time where  $(X_1^{\tau_1}, X_2^{\tau_1}) \in \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$  and we set

$$\begin{cases} Y_1 = 0 & \text{if } (X_1^{\tau_1}, X_2^{\tau_1}) = (0, 1) \\ Y_1 = 1 & \text{if } (X_1^{\tau_1}, X_2^{\tau_1}) = (1, 0) \end{cases}$$

and we define similarly  $Y_i$  for any  $i \geq n$ . The sequence  $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an infinite random sequence of independent Bernoulli( $\frac{1}{2}$ ).

- (3) Let  $U$  be a random uniform variable in  $[0, 1]$ . How can you sample a Bernoulli( $p$ ) ?

**Solution.** We consider  $U$  a random uniform variable in  $[0, 1]$ . The random variable  $X = \mathbb{1}_{U \leq p}$  is a Bernoulli( $p$ ).

- (4) Let us denote by  $\mathbb{P}_p$  be the probability associated with the site percolation on some infinite lattice with probability  $p$  (i.e. a site is open independently from the other with probability  $p$ ). Show that

$$\mathbb{P}_p(0 \rightsquigarrow \infty)$$

is increasing in  $p$ .

**Solution.** The idea is to use a coupling as for example defined in point 1. or, as used here, using point 3. and a collection of independent uniform random variable associated to each vertices with mutual probability  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Let us therefore consider  $(U_x)_{x \in V}$  a family of independent uniform random variables on  $[0, 1]$ . If we define

$$(X_x^p = \mathbb{1}_{U_x \leq p})_{x \in V}$$

we recover a site percolation of parameter  $p$ . If  $p$  increases, then almost surely (in fact for all events) for any  $x \in V$  we have that  $X_x^p$  increases and thus

$$\left\{ \omega \in \Omega, 0 \rightsquigarrow \infty \text{ for } (X_x^p)_{x \in V} \right\} \subset \left\{ \omega \in \Omega, 0 \rightsquigarrow \infty \text{ for } (X_x^{p'})_{x \in V} \right\}$$

if  $p \leq p'$ . Thus  $p \mapsto \mathbb{P}_p(0 \rightsquigarrow \infty) = \mathbb{P}(0 \rightsquigarrow \infty \text{ for } (X_x^p)_{x \in V})$  is increasing.

**Exercise 2.** *Connective constant of graphs*

In this exercise we will only work with the graph  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  but the result generalizes easily for any regular graph. We want to define a probability measure on the set of self-avoiding random walks (i.e. on the set of paths  $\omega$  such that  $\omega(i) \neq \omega(j)$  for any  $i \neq j$ ) of the form:

$$P_\beta(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z_\beta} e^{-\beta|\omega|},$$

where  $|\omega|$  is the length of  $\omega$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is a parameter. In order to do so, we need to understand  $Z_\beta$ : if it is infinite, we cannot define this probability measure, if it is finite, we can. We will admit the following lemma (that you can try to prove):

**Lemma.** *Let  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that:*

- (1) *there exists  $c \geq 1$ ,  $a_n \geq c^n$  for any  $n$ ,*
- (2) *for any  $n, p \geq 1$ ,  $a_{n+p} \leq a_n a_p$ .*

*Then there exists  $\mu \geq c$  such that  $a_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow \mu$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Besides,  $\inf_n (a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \mu$ .*

- (1) What should be the value of  $Z_\beta$ ? *Hint: we want a probability measure.*

**Solution.** We want  $P_\beta$  to be a probability measure, thus:

$$\sum_{\omega} P_\beta(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z_\beta} \sum_{\omega} e^{-\beta|\omega|} = 1,$$

hence  $Z_\beta = \sum_{\omega} e^{-\beta|\omega|}$ .

- (2) Let us define by  $\lambda_N$  the number of simple walks of size  $N$  which start at 0. What is the limit of  $(\lambda_N)^{\frac{1}{N}}$  as  $N$  goes to infinity?

**Solution.** The number of simple random walks of size  $N$  which start at 0 is equal to  $4^N$ , hence  $(\lambda_N)^{\frac{1}{N}} = 4$ , which in particular converges to 4 as  $N$  goes to infinity.

- (3) Let us define by  $\mu_N$  the number of self-avoiding walks of size  $N$  which start at 0. Prove that  $(\mu_N)^{\frac{1}{N}}$  converges as  $N$  goes to infinity to a number  $\mu \geq 2$  which is called the connective constant of the lattice.

**Solution.** We will use the lemma given at the beginning of the exercise, we need to prove that for any  $n, p \geq 1$  that

$$\mu_{n+p} \leq \mu_n \mu_p.$$

Hence, we need to prove that

$$\#\{\omega, |\omega| \leq n+p\} \leq \#\{\omega, |\omega| \leq n\} \cdot \#\{\omega, |\omega| \leq p\}$$

where any  $\omega$  is a self-avoiding walk. But if  $\omega$  is a self-avoiding walk of length  $n+p$ , then  $\omega_{[1\dots n]}$ , and  $\omega_{[n+1, \dots, n+p]}$  are two self-avoiding walks of length  $n$  and  $p$ . This implies the previous inequality.

Besides, if we consider paths which only go up or to the right, we see that  $\mu_n \geq 2^n$ . Using the lemma at the beginning of the exercise, this implies that  $\mu_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$  converges as  $n$  goes to infinity towards a number  $\mu \geq 2$ .

- (4) Deduce that there exists  $\beta_c$  such that

$$\beta > \beta_c \iff Z_\beta < \infty.$$

Give the value of  $\beta_c = \beta_c(\mu)$ .

**Solution.** The number  $Z_\beta = \sum_{\omega} e^{-\beta|\omega|} = \sum_n \mu_n e^{-\beta n} = \sum_n \left( (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\beta} \right)^n$  is finite if and only if the limit of  $(\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\beta}$  is strictly less than 1. Indeed, if  $\lim_n (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\beta} > 1$  then the sum is clearly infinite, and if the limit is equal to 1, then recall the lemma at the beginning of the exercise : we know that

$$\lim_n \left( (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\beta} \right) = \inf_n \left( (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\beta} \right)$$

thus it means that  $\inf_n (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\beta} \geq 1$  and thus the sum is also infinite.

Thus the sum is finite if and only if  $\mu e^{-\beta} < 1$ , this implies that  $\beta_c = \ln \mu$ .

*Remark.* The connective constant of the honeycomb lattice has been computed in 2010 by H. Duminil-Copin and S. Smirnov with an elegant 6 pages proof (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1007.0575.pdf>), using parafermionic observables.

**Exercise 3.** *From sites to edges and back*

For any graph  $G = (V, E)$ , the *edge path* is given by a sequence of edges  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  such that every consecutive pair shares a vertex. A *vertex path*  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is a sequence of vertices such that each consecutive pair is connected by an edge.

- (1) Show that for each  $G = (V, E)$  there exists a graph  $G' = (V', E')$  and a bijection  $\phi : E \rightarrow V'$  which yields a correspondence between edge paths in  $G$  and vertex paths in  $G'$ .

*Remark.* This allows us to translate questions about edge percolation on  $G$  to questions about site percolation on  $G'$ .

**Solution.** We consider the graph  $G' = (V', E')$  with  $V' = E$  and  $(e, e') \in E'$  for any  $e \in E$  and  $e' \in E$  which share a vertex. We can consider the canonical bijection  $\phi : E \rightarrow V' = E$ . If there exists an edge path  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  then since  $e_{i+1}$  must share a vertex with  $e_i$ , this means that  $\phi(e_{i+1})$  is linked to  $\phi(e_i)$  in  $G'$ . Thus  $\phi(e_1), \dots, \phi(e_n)$  is a vertex path in  $G'$ . It is also true that if there exists a vertex path  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  in  $G'$ , then  $(\phi^{-1}(v_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(v_n))$  is also an edge path in  $G$ .

- (2) What is the modified graph associated with  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  ?

**Solution.** Any edge  $e$  is replaced by a vertex (we consider the midpoint of  $e$ ). Two edges (i.e. two midpoints) are connected either if the two edges are adjacent and orthogonal, or adjacent and parallel. In the first case, the links that we need to add give a graph similar to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and rotated by  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ . The second types of edges are the diagonals of one out of two squares.

- (3) Think of an example of a graph  $G' = (V', E')$  such that there exists no graph  $G = (V, E)$  without edges that are self-looping and whose edge paths would correspond to vertex paths in  $G'$ .

**Solution.** We notice from 1. that if  $G$  is a graph without edges which are loops, the modified graph associated with  $G'$  has a special property: for any  $x \in G'$ , the set  $N_x$  of neighbours of  $x$  can be divided in two sets  $N_x^{(1)} \sqcup N_x^{(2)}$  where for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , any vertices  $u, v \in N_x^{(i)}$  are linked by an edge (this is due to the fact that any edge  $e \in G$  has two endpoints). This implies that for a graph  $G' = (V', E')$  not satisfying this property there cannot exist  $G$  whose edge paths would correspond to vertex paths in  $G'$ .